

SURJECTIVITY OF THE TOTAL CLIFFORD INVARIANT AND BRAUER DIMENSION

ASHER AUEL

ABSTRACT. Merkurjev’s theorem—the statement that the 2-torsion of the Brauer group is represented by Clifford algebras of quadratic forms—is in general false when the base is no longer a field. The work of Parimala, Scharlau, and Sridharan proves the existence of smooth complete curves over local fields for which Merkurjev’s theorem is equivalent to the existence of a rational theta characteristic. Here we prove that on regular curves over local fields or surfaces over finite fields, replacing the Witt group by the total Witt group of line bundle-valued quadratic forms recovers Merkurjev’s theorem: the 2-torsion of the Brauer group is represented by Clifford algebras of line bundle-valued quadratic forms.

INTRODUCTION

A consequence of Merkurjev’s celebrated result [Mer81]—settling the degree 2 case of the Milnor conjecture—is that every Brauer class of period 2 over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ is represented by the Clifford algebra of some even dimensional quadratic form. There are many alternate proofs of “Merkurjev’s theorem” [Ara84], [Mer06], [Wad86], [EKM08, VIII], and it retains its status as one of the great breakthroughs in the theory of quadratic forms in the second half of the 20th century.

There have been many investigations into the validity of aspects of the Milnor conjecture over more general rings. For example, see [Gui89, §3], [EVMS02], [Hoo06], and [KMS07], [Ker09] for a Milnor K -theoretic perspective. See [PS92], [Par00], [Mor05], and [Gil07], for a Witt group perspective. In this context, Alex Hahn asked if there exists a commutative ring R over which Merkurjev’s theorem—stated for the Witt group of regular quadratic forms over R —doesn’t hold. The surprising results of Parimala, Scharlau, and Sridharan [PS94a], [PS92], [PS94b], show that there exist smooth complete curves X over local fields such that the analogue of Merkurjev’s theorem for X fails. These examples are also used to construct affine schemes over which Merkurjev’s theorem does not hold, thus answering Alex Hahn’s original question.

Date: August 30, 2011.

In this work we show that even when not all Brauer classes of period 2 over a given scheme X can be represented by Clifford algebras of regular quadratic forms over X , they may be represented by Clifford algebras of regular line bundle-valued quadratic forms. Let $W_{\text{tot}}(X)$ be the total quadratic Witt group of line bundle-valued quadratic forms (see §1.2 for definitions and precautions) and let $I_{\text{tot}}^2(X)$ be the subgroup generated by line bundle-valued quadratic forms of even rank and trivial discriminant. We construct (Proposition 1.5) a natural group homomorphism $e^2 : I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$ generalizing the classical Clifford invariant, which we call the *total Clifford invariant*. Our main result is the following.

Theorem (Corollary 3.7). *Let X be a regular integral curve over a local field (of characteristic $\neq 2$) or surface over a finite field (of odd characteristic). Then the total Clifford invariant*

$$e^2 : I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$$

is surjective.

In the proof (which is contained in §3), we use results of Saltman [Sal97] and Lieblich [Lie11] on the “Brauer dimension” of function fields of curves over local fields and surfaces over finite fields, respectively. Together with a purity result for division algebras on surfaces (found for example, in the preprint [OP05, Thm. 1.1]), we reduce the problem to a question about Azumaya algebras of degree dividing 4. At this point, we make use of the work of Knus, Ojanguren, Parimala, Paques, and Sridharan [KOS78], [KP85], [Knu88], [KPS86], [KPS89], and [BK94] (also see [KMRT98, IV §15]), on the exceptional isomorphisms of Dynkin diagrams $A_1^2 = D_2$ and $A_3 = D_3$, which provide beautiful constructions of line bundle-valued quadratic forms with specified even Clifford algebras.

History. The notion of a line bundle-valued quadratic form on X appeared simultaneously in many different contexts back in the early 1970s. Geyer–Harder–Knebusch–Scharlau [GHKS70] introduced the notion of symmetric bilinear forms with values in the module of Kähler differentials over a global function field. This notion enabled a consistent choice of local traces in order to generalize residue theorems to nonrational function fields. For a smooth complete algebraic curve X , Mumford [Mum71] introduced the notion of locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules with pairings taking values in the sheaf of differentials ω_X to study theta characteristics. Kanzaki [Kan71] introduced the notion of a Witt group of quadratic forms with values in an invertible over a commutative ring. Saltman [Sal78, Thm. 4.2] showed that involutions on Azumaya algebras naturally led to the consideration of line bundle-valued bilinear forms.

In his thesis, Bichsel [Bic85] (reported in [BK94]) constructs an even Clifford algebra of a line bundle-valued form over an affine scheme. This was later used in [PS94c], [Bal07], and [Voi10]. Kapranov [Kap88, §4.1] considered the *homogeneous* Clifford algebra of a quadratic form—the same as the *generalized* Clifford algebra (in the sense [BK94]) or the *graded* Clifford algebra (in the sense of [CvO93])—to study the derived category of projective quadrics and quadric fibrations. With respect to Clifford algebras, line bundle-valued quadratic forms behave much like Azumaya algebras with orthogonal involution. In particular, there is no “full” Clifford algebra, only an even part together with a bimodule. Thus as in the case of algebras with orthogonal involution, there is no usual Clifford invariant, but an invariant over the discriminant cover, or a pair of invariants if the discriminant is trivial. The construction of invariants in étale cohomology capturing the even Clifford algebra of a line bundle-valued quadratic form appears in [Aue09], [Aue11a].

Acknowledgments. The bulk of the preparation of this work was accomplished at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, Germany and the author would like to thank the directors for providing excellent working conditions and a wonderfully stimulating environment. A visit at the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik at ETH, Zürich, Switzerland also proved very productive for this work. The author would also like personally to thank Baptiste Calmès, Ted Chinburg, Max Knus, R. Parimala, David Saltman, and V. Suresh for many useful conversations and much encouragement. Author partially supported by National Science Foundation Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Research Fellowship grant DMS-0903039.

1. CLIFFORD INVARIANT OF A LINE BUNDLE-VALUED QUADRATIC FORM

Let X be a separated noetherian scheme and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

1.1. Line bundle-valued quadratic forms. A *(line bundle-valued) symmetric bilinear form* on X is a triple $(\mathcal{E}, b, \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of finite rank and $b : S^2\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module morphism. A *(line bundle-valued) quadratic form* on X is a triple $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and $q : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an quadratic morphism of sheaves such that the associated morphism of sheaves $b_q : S^2\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ defined on sections by

$$b_q(vw) = q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)$$

is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module morphism, and in this case, b_q is called the *associated* symmetric bilinear form to q . Equivalently, q defines an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module morphism $q : S_2\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, see [Swa85, Lemma 2.1] or [Aue11a, Lemma 1.1]. Here $S^2\mathcal{E}$

and $S_2\mathcal{E}$ denote the second symmetric power and submodule of symmetric second tensors of $\mathcal{E}$, respectively. We will mostly dispense with the title “line bundle-valued.”

An symmetric bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}, b, \mathcal{L})$ is *regular* if the canonical adjoint $\psi_b : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module isomorphism. An quadratic form is *regular* if b_q is regular. Since we will assume that 2 is invertible on X , we can pass back and forth between quadratic and symmetric bilinear forms on X .

A *similarity* between symmetric bilinear $(\mathcal{E}, b, \mathcal{L})$ and $(\mathcal{E}', b', \mathcal{L}')$ or quadratic forms $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ and $(\mathcal{E}', q', \mathcal{L}')$ is a pair (φ, λ) consisting of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module isomorphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ and $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that either of the following diagrams,

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^2\mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathcal{L} \\ S^2\varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\ S^2\mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow{b'} & \mathcal{L}' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{L} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda \\ \mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathcal{L}' \end{array}$$

of commute, respectively. Note that the commutativity of the diagrams (1) take on the familiar formula $b'(\varphi(v), \varphi(w)) = \lambda \circ b(v, w)$ and $q'(\varphi(v)) = \lambda \circ q(v)$ on sections. A similarity transformation (φ, λ) is an *isometry* if $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'$ and λ is the identity map.

Denote by $\mathbf{GO}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ (resp. $\mathbf{O}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$) the presheaf, on the large étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$, of similitudes (resp. isometries) of a regular quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$. In fact, this is a sheaf and is representable by a smooth affine reductive group schemes over X . See [DG70, II.1.2.6, III.5.2.3]). Here we consider reductive group schemes whose fibers are not necessarily geometrically integral, in contrast to [SGA64, XIX.2]. Even though these sheaves of groups are representable by schemes over X , we will still think of them as sheaves of groups on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

Define the *projective similarity* class of a quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ to be the set of similarity classes of quadratic forms $(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}, q_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes q, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ ranging over all regular bilinear forms $(\mathcal{N}, q_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2})$ of rank 1 on X . In [BC11], this is referred to as a *lax-similarity* class.

1.2. Total Witt groups. One must be careful when working with “total” Witt groups. Denote by $W(X, \mathcal{L})$ the (quadratic) Witt group of regular $\mathcal{L}$ -valued quadratic forms on X . We usually write $W(X) = W(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Every Witt class can be represented by a regular quadratic form, see [Kne77, §5]. With respect to a choice of representative invertible sheaves $P = \{\mathcal{L}\}$ of the group $\text{Pic}(X)/2$, we define the *total (quadratic) Witt group* $W_{\text{tot}}(X) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L} \in P} W(X, \mathcal{L})$. While this group is only defined up to non-canonical isomorphisms depending on our choice of representatives, none of our cohomological invariants will depend on such

isomorphisms. More importantly, we will not consider any ring structure on this group. Thus we will not need to descend into the most important considerations of [BC11]. Indeed, if H is a fixed abelian group, then for each invertible $\mathcal{L}$ we will only consider maps $e_{\mathcal{L}} : W(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H$ such that for any *quadratic alignment* $A = (\mathcal{N}, \phi)$ (i.e. an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$) there's a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(X, \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{L}}} & H \\ A^{\odot} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ W(X, \mathcal{L}') & \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{L}'}} & H \end{array}$$

of abelian groups, where $A^{\odot} : (\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \mapsto (\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}, \phi \circ (q_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes q), \mathcal{L}')$ and where $(\mathcal{N}, q_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2})$ is the canonical squaring form. We say that such homomorphisms $e_{\mathcal{L}}$ are *projective similarity class invariants*. Clearly, one could axiomatize such homomorphisms as morphisms of functors compatible with quadratic alignments. Finally, the homomorphism $e = \oplus_{\mathcal{L} \in P} e_{\mathcal{L}} : W_{\text{tot}}(X) \rightarrow H$ is well-defined for any choice P of representatives of $\text{Pic}(X)/2$ and any system $\{e_{\mathcal{L}}\}_{\mathcal{L} \in P}$ of projective similarity class invariants. A yet unhappy reader may simply replace “ $e : W_{\text{tot}}(X) \rightarrow H$ is surjective” by “for each $h \in H$, there exists an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{L}$ and a class $q \in W(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $e_{\mathcal{L}}(q) = h$ ”.

Denote by $I^1(X, \mathcal{L}) \subset W(X, \mathcal{L})$ the subgroup generated by forms of even rank and $I_{\text{tot}}^1(X) = \oplus_{\mathcal{L}} I^1(X, \mathcal{L})$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is not a square in $\text{Pic}(X)$ then $I^1(X, \mathcal{L}) = W(X, \mathcal{L})$, see [Aue11a, Lemma 1.6] for example. Thus, the rank modulo 2 homomorphism

$$e^0 : W_{\text{tot}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

has kernel exactly the subgroup $I_{\text{tot}}^1(X)$. Clearly e^0 is a projective similarity class invariant.

1.3. Even Clifford algebra. In his thesis, Bichsel [Bic85] constructed an even Clifford algebra of a line bundle-valued quadratic form on an affine scheme. Alternate constructions are given in [BK94], [CvO93], and [PS94c, §4], which are all detailed in [Aue11a, §1.8].

Following [KMRT98, II Lemma 8.1], we can directly define the even Clifford algebra of a line bundle-valued quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ on X as follows. Define ideals $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ of the tensor algebra $T(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee})$ to be locally generated by

$$v \otimes v \otimes f - f(q(v)), \quad \text{and} \quad u \otimes v \otimes f \otimes v \otimes w \otimes g - f(q(v)) u \otimes w \otimes g,$$

respectively, for sections u, v, w of $\mathcal{E}$ and f, g of $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) = T(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee}) / (\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2).$$

By its tensorial construction, the even Clifford algebra has a universal property with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module morphisms $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ to an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ corresponding to the above two kinds of defining relations (cf. [Mah10, §3] over a field).

The following list of properties of the even Clifford algebra are all proved by descent, using the corresponding properties of classical Clifford algebras.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ be a regular quadratic form of rank n on a scheme X . Write $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m + 1$.*

- a) *If n is odd, $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is a central $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra. If n is even, the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is an étale quadratic $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra (i.e. the morphism $f : Z = \mathbf{Spec} \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X$ is étale quadratic).*
- b) *If n is odd, $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is an Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra of degree 2^m . If n is even, $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ gives rise to an Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_Z$ -algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ of rank 2^{m-1}*
- c) *There is a canonical morphism of locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules*

$$i : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$$

and a unique canonical involution τ_0 of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ that restricts to the naïve switch map on (the first two tensor factors of) $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee$.

- d) *Any similarity $(\varphi, \lambda) : (\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}', q', \mathcal{L}')$ induces an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}_0(\varphi, \lambda) : \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}', q', \mathcal{L}').$$

- e) *Any quadratic alignment $A = (\mathcal{N}, \phi)$, with $\phi : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$, induces an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}_0(A^\circ) : \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{E}, \phi \circ (q_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes q), \mathcal{L}').$$

- f) *For any morphism of schemes $g : X' \rightarrow X$, there's a canonical $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module isomorphism*

$$g^* \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathcal{C}_0(g^*(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})).$$

Definition 1.2. Let $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ be a quadratic form of even rank on X , $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ the center of its even Clifford algebra, and $Z = \mathbf{Spec} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$. We call $f : Z \rightarrow X$ the *discriminant cover* of $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$. It is étale quadratic if $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is regular, and in this case, the X -algebra isomorphism class of the discriminant cover in $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is called the *discriminant invariant* $d(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$.

Remark 1.3. When 2 is invertible on X , and $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is a regular quadratic form of rank $n = 2m$, the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is generated by the signed discriminant module $\det \mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\otimes m}$ (defined in [PS94c, §4]) of the associated symmetric bilinear form b_q , see also [Aue11a, §1.9].

Denote by $I^2(X, \mathcal{L}) \subset I^1(X, \mathcal{L})$ the subgroup generated by forms of trivial discriminant invariant and $I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L} \in P} I^2(X, \mathcal{L})$. The discriminant invariant defines a group homomorphism

$$e^1 : I_{\text{tot}}^1(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

with $I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \subset \ker(e^1)$. By Proposition 1.1e), e^1 is a projective similarity class invariant.

Remark 1.4. The quotient group $\ker(e^1)/I_{\text{tot}}^2(X)$ is generated by elements of the form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) - (\mathcal{E}', q', \mathcal{L}')$, where both q and q' have equal *nontrivial* discriminant invariant and yet $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}'$ are in different square classes.

1.4. Total Clifford invariant. For a regular quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ of even rank $n = 2m$ and trivial discriminant on X , the even Clifford algebra decomposes as a product of Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \times \mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ upon choosing a splitting idempotent giving rise to an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_X$.

For m odd, $\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}}$, while for m even, there are refined classes $[\mathcal{C}_0^\pm(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}), \tau_0^\pm] \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ satisfying

$$[\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}), \tau_0^+] + [\mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}), \tau_0^-] = c_1(\mathcal{L}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$$

see [Aue11a, §2.8, §3.4]. In any case, we have

$$[\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})] = [\mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})] \in {}_2\text{Br}(X)$$

since 1st Chern classes are in the kernel of the map $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$.

Proposition 1.5. *There exists a system $\{e_{\mathcal{L}}^2\}$ of projective similarity class invariants giving rise to a group homomorphism*

$$e^2 : I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$$

such that for every regular quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ of even rank and trivial discriminant, we have $e^2(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) = [\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})]$. We call it the total Clifford invariant.

Proof. Every element $x \in I^2(X, \mathcal{L})$ can be represented by a regular quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ of even rank and trivial discriminant. We then define $e_{\mathcal{L}}^2(x) = [\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})] \in {}_2\text{Br}(X)$, the Brauer class being independent of the choice of splitting of the center. To check that this is well-defined, we need to show that $[\mathcal{C}_0^+((\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \perp H_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{V}))] = [\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})]$ in ${}_2\text{Br}(X)$ for any locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{V}$, where $H_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{V})$ is the $\mathcal{L}$ -valued hyperbolic space with lagrangian $\mathcal{V}$. By the splitting principle (since the Clifford algebra is functorial for pullbacks), we can reduce to the case of $\mathcal{V}$ of rank 1.

A direct calculation show that there's an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_0((\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \perp H_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{V})) \cong \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}_0}(\mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{C}_1)$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$, and $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ is the Clifford module (see [BK94] or [Aue11a, §4.1] for a definition). Under a choice of splitting $\mathcal{C}_0 \cong \mathcal{C}_0^+ \times \mathcal{C}_0^-$ and the induced module decomposition $\mathcal{C}_1^+ \times \mathcal{C}_1^-$, there's an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}_0((\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \perp H_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{V})) \cong \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}_0^+}(\mathcal{C}_0^+ \oplus \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{C}_1^+) \times \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{C}_0^-}(\mathcal{C}_0^- \oplus \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{C}_1^-).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{C}_0^+((\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \perp H_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{V}))$ is Brauer equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$.

Finally, by Proposition 1.1e), the even Clifford algebra is a projective similarity class invariant (hence so is the Brauer class of $\mathcal{C}_0^+$). $\square$

Remark 1.6. The invariant $e_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2 : I^2(X) = I^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$ coincides with the classical Clifford invariant map. Indeed, if $(\mathcal{E}, q)$ is a regular $\mathcal{O}_X$ -valued quadratic form of even rank and trivial discriminant then $\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q)$ is Brauer equivalent to the full Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{E}, q)$. See also [Aue11a, Thm. 2.10b)]. It was already proved in [KO91] that the full Clifford algebra yields an invariant $W(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$.

2. NON-SURJECTIVITY OF THE CLIFFORD INVARIANT

In this section, we will outline how the counterexamples to Merkurjev's theorem—constructed using the work of Parimala, Sridharan, and Scharlau [PS92], [PS94a], [PS94b]—fit into the context of the *unramified Milnor question*.

2.1. Unramified Milnor question. In this section, we gather together results on unramified cohomology and the unramified Witt group. A functorial framework for the notion of “unramified element” is established in Colliot-Thélène [CT95, §2]. See also the survey by Zainoulline [Zai10, §3]. Rost [Ros96, Rem. 5.2] gives a different perspective in terms of cycle modules, also see Morel [Mor05, §2]. Assume that X has finite Krull dimension and is equidimensional. For simplicity of exposition, assume that X is integral with function field K . Denote by $X^{(i)}$ its set of codimension i points.

Denote by **Local** the category of local rings together with local homomorphisms and by **Ab** the category of $\mathbb{N}$ -graded abelian groups. Given a functor $M : \mathbf{Local} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, define

$$M_{\text{ur}}(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X^{(1)}} (M(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow M(K))$$

to be the group of *unramified elements* of M over X . In the terminology of [CT95], these are the *unramified in codimension one elements*.

Denote by $\mathbf{Sch}$ the category of schemes. Given a functor $M : \mathbf{Sch} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, there is a natural map $M(X) \rightarrow M_{\text{ur}}(X)$. If this map is injective, surjective, or bijective we say that the *injectivity*, *weak purity*, or *purity* property hold, respectively. Whether these properties hold for various functors M is the subject of many conjectures and open problems see [CT95, §2.2] for examples.

Unramified cohomology. For $n \geq 0$, define the *unramified étale cohomology* (modulo 2) of X to be the abelian group of unramified elements $H_{\text{ur}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of the functor $H_{\text{ét}}^n(-, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Letting $\mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^n$ be the Zariski sheaf associated to this functor we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}_{\text{ét}}^n) = H_{\text{ur}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ when X is smooth over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ by the exactness of the Gersten complex for étale cohomology, see Bloch–Ogus [BO74, §2.1, Thm. 4.2].

Unramified fundamental filtration of the Witt group. Define the *unramified Witt group* of X to be the abelian group of unramified elements $W_{\text{ur}}(X)$ of the Witt group functor W . Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the Zariski sheaf associated to the Witt group functor. If X is regular over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ then $W_{\text{ur}}(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{W})$ by Ojanguren–Panin [OP99] (also see Morel [Mor05, Thm. 2.2]). For $n \geq 0$, write $I_{\text{ur}}^n(X) = I^n(F(X)) \cap W_{\text{ur}}(X)$.

Remark 2.1. The notation $I_{\text{ur}}^n(X)$ does not necessarily mean the n th power of $I_{\text{ur}}(X)$. This is true, however, when X is the spectrum of a regular local ring containing an infinite field of characteristic $\neq 2$, see [KMS07, Cor. 0.5].

By the veracity of the Milnor conjecture for fields (due to [Voe03]), there exist higher cohomological invariant homomorphisms

$$e_{\text{ur}}^n : I_{\text{ur}}^n(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^n(X)$$

which factor through to homomorphisms $\bar{e}_{\text{ur}}^n : I_{\text{ur}}^n(X)/I_{\text{ur}}^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^n(X)$, see [PS92].

Question 2.2 (“Unramified Milnor question” [PS92, Question Q]). *Given a regular scheme X with 2 invertible, are the homomorphisms*

$$\bar{e}_{\text{ur}}^n : I_{\text{ur}}^n(X)/I_{\text{ur}}^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^n(X)$$

isomorphisms of abelian groups?

Remark 2.3. There is an incorrect assertion in [Gil07, §10.7] and [Par00, §5] that the unramified Milnor conjecture holds over any smooth integral scheme X over a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. The overlooked point in these texts is that $I_{\text{ur}}^n(X)/I_{\text{ur}}^{n+1}(X)$ only injects into (but does not in general coincide with) the 0th homology of the Gersten complex

$$0 \rightarrow I^n(k(X))/I^{n+1}(k(X)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} I^{n-1}(k(x))/I^n(k(x)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

for the graded fundamental filtration of the Witt group. By the Milnor conjecture for fields (and the compatibility of residue maps found in [PS92, §1.4]), this Gersten complex is isomorphic to the Bloch–Ogus resolution of $H_{\text{ur}}^n(X)$, showing that $\bar{e}_{\text{ur}}^n : I_{\text{ur}}^n(X)/I_{\text{ur}}^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^n(X)$ is injective. This last point is also pointed out in [Par88, §1, p. 949]. An explicit counter example is provided in Example 2.9.

Purity. For a survey on purity results for Witt groups, see Zainoulline [Zai10]. For X a regular integral noetherian scheme with 2 invertible, purity holds for the Witt group under the following hypotheses:

- a) X is dimension ≤ 3 ,
- b) X is the spectrum of a local ring of dimension ≤ 4 ,
- c) X is the spectrum of a local ring containing a field.

For a), the case of dimension ≤ 2 is due to Colliot-Thélène–Sansuc [CTS79, Cor. 2.5], the case of dimension 3 and X affine is due to Ojanguren–Parimala–Sridharan–Suresh [OPSS99], and for the general case, as well as b), see Balmer–Walter [BW02]. For c), see Ojanguren–Panin [OP99].

For X a geometrically locally factorial integral scheme, the purity property holds for unramified cohomology in degree ≤ 1 , i.e.

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H_{\text{ur}}^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mu_2) = H_{\text{ur}}^1(X, \mu_2)$$

see Colliot-Thélène–Sansuc [CTS78, Cor. 3.2, Prop. 4.1]. For X smooth over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$, we have ${}_2\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ur}}^2(X, \mu_2)$ by Bloch–Ogus [BO74].

Negative answers to the unramified Milnor question. Let X be a smooth integral variety satisfying purity for the Witt group over a field k of characteristic $n \neq 2$. Then the global and unramified classical invariants coincide to yield surjections

$$e^0 = e_{\text{ur}}^0 : W(X) = W_{\text{ur}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = H_{\text{ur}}^0(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$e^1 = e_{\text{ur}}^1 : I^1(X) = I_{\text{ur}}^1(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H_{\text{ur}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

and a homomorphism

$$e^2 = e_{\text{ur}}^2 : I^2(X) = I_{\text{ur}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ur}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$

see also [PS92, §1.6] and [PS94b, §2].

Remark 2.4. Let $d = \dim(X)$. If $cd_2(k) \leq 3 - d$, then $\bar{e}_{\text{ur}}^n$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 3$. In this case, the unramified Milnor question is equivalent to the surjectivity of $e^2 = e_{\text{ur}}^2$.

The following notion is useful when studying the unramified Milnor question over curves.

Definition 2.5 (Parimala–Sridharan [PS92]). A scheme X over a field k has the *extension property* for quadratic forms if there exists $x_0 \in X(k)$ such that every regular $\mathcal{O}_X$ -valued quadratic form on $X \setminus \{x_0\}$ is a restriction from X . Equivalently, the restriction map $I^2(X) \rightarrow I^2(X \setminus \{x_0\})$ is surjective (see [PS92, Proof of Thm. 4.4]).

Proposition 2.6 (Parimala–Sridharan [PS92, Lemma 4.3]). *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and of $cd_2(k) \leq 2$ and let X be a smooth integral k -curve. Then the unramified Milnor conjecture holds over X if and only if X has the extension property for quadratic forms.*

The extension property is guaranteed when a residue theorem holds for the Witt group. The residue theorem for $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ is due to Milnor [Mil70, §5]. For nonrational curves, the choices of local uniformizers inherent in defining the residue maps are eliminated by considering quadratic forms with values in the canonical bundle $\omega_{X/k}$.

Theorem 2.7 (Geyer–Harder–Knebusch–Scharlau [GHKS70]). *Let X be a smooth proper integral curve over a field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then there is a canonical complex*

$$0 \rightarrow W(X, \omega_{X/k}) \rightarrow W(k(X), \omega_{k(X)/k}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} W(k(x), \omega_{k(x)/k}) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{X/k}} W(k) \rightarrow 0$$

yielding a residue theorem for the Witt group $W(X, \omega_{X/k})$.

A relative version of this theorem also holds, see [Fas08, Cor. 8.3.3]. Any choice of quadratic alignment $\varphi : \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2} \cong \omega_{X/k}$ induces a group isomorphism $W(X) \rightarrow W(X, \omega_{X/k})$. Thus in particular, if $\omega_{X/k}$ is a square in $\text{Pic}(X)$, then X has the extension property. The converse holds for hyperelliptic curves over local fields.

Theorem 2.8 (Parimala–Sridharan [PS94b, Thm. 3]). *Let k be a local field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and X a smooth integral hyperelliptic k -curve of genus ≥ 2 with $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. Then the unramified Milnor conjecture holds over X if and only if $\omega_{X/k}$ is a square.*

Example 2.9 (Parimala–Sridharan [PS94b]). Let X be the smooth proper hyperelliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}_3$ with affine model $y^2 = (t^2 - 3)(t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1)$. The point $(y, t) = (\sqrt{31}, 2)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_3$ -rational point of X . One can show using [PS94a, Thm. 2.4] that $\omega_{X/k}$ is not a square. Hence by Theorem 2.8, the unramified Milnor conjecture does not hold for X .

3. SURJECTIVITY OF THE TOTAL CLIFFORD INVARIANT

The goal of this section is to prove the main result of this work.

3.1. Exceptional isomorphisms. The exceptional isomorphisms of Dynkin diagrams $A_1^2 = D_2$ and $A_3 = D_3$ have beautiful reverberations in the theory of quadratic forms of rank 4 and 6, respectively. In these ranks, the *reduced norm* and *reduced pfaffian* enable a quadratic form to be reconstructed from its even Clifford algebra (together with certain data). For quadratic forms over rings, this theory was initiated by Kneser, Knus, Ojanguren, Parimala, Paques, and Sridharan, see [Kne82], [KOS78], [KP85], [Knu88], [KPS86], [KPS89]. Now, a standard reference on this work is Knus [Knu91, Ch. V]. Over fields, a wonderful reference is [KMRT98, IV §15]. Bichsel [Bic85] and Bichsel–Knus [BK94] provide an extension of this theory to line bundle-valued forms over rings. The existing theory over rings immediately generalizes to base schemes when the corresponding algebraic groups are of inner type (i.e. the case of trivial discriminant). For an approach over general bases using Severi–Brauer schemes, see [PS94c]. In the general case (i.e. general discriminant), the details are worked out in [Aue11b].

The main result of this theory that we need is the following. For $n = 2m$ even, denote by $\mathrm{PQF}_n^+(X)$ the set of projective similarity classes of regular line bundle-valued quadratic forms of rank n and trivial discriminant on X and by ${}_2\mathrm{Az}_n(X)$ the set of isomorphism classes of Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebras of degree n and period 2.

The assignment, taking the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism classes of the two components $(\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}), \mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}))$ of the even Clifford algebra (with respect to some splitting idempotent), yields a well-defined map

$$\mathcal{C}_0^\pm : \mathrm{PQF}_n^+(X) \rightarrow {}_2\mathrm{Az}_{2m-2}^{(2)}(X)$$

where $\{-\}^{(2)}$ denotes the set of unordered pairs of elements.

For m odd, the restriction of the canonical Clifford involution to the even Clifford algebra is of unitary type. In particular, we have an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{C}_0^+(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{C}_0^-(\mathcal{E}, q, \mathcal{L})$ and hence ${}_2\mathrm{Az}_{2m-2}^{(2)}(X) = {}_2\mathrm{Az}_{2m-2}^{(\mathrm{op})}(X)$ where $\{-\}^{(\mathrm{op})}$ denotes the set of equivalence classes under the relation $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Hence for m odd, we can replace the map $\mathcal{C}_0^\pm$ by the map

$$\mathcal{C}_0^+ : \mathrm{PQF}_n^+(X) \rightarrow {}_2\mathrm{Az}_{2m-2}^{(\mathrm{op})}(X),$$

taking the isomorphism class of just one component on the even Clifford algebra.

The main result we'll need is that for $n = 4, 6$ these are bijections, with inverse maps realized via the reduced norm and pfaffian constructions outlined in [KPS89], [Knu91, V.4–5], [PS94c].

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible.*

a) *There are inverse bijections*

$$\mathrm{PQF}_4^+(X) \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{N}]{\mathcal{C}_0^\pm} {}_2\mathrm{Az}_2^{(2)}(X)$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the reduced norm construction.

b) *There are inverse bijections*

$$\mathrm{PQF}_6^+(X) \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{P}]{\mathcal{C}_0^+} {}_2\mathrm{Az}_4^{(\mathrm{op})}(X)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the reduced pfaffian construction.

Proof. A direct proof of a) can be deduced from [PS94c, Prop. 4.1] (which is a generalization of [BK94, Prop. 4.5]), where it is shown that if $\mathcal{E}$ is an invertible right $\mathcal{A}$ -module for an Azumaya quaternion $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (corresponding to an element $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})] \in {}_2\mathrm{Az}_2^{(2)}(X)$), then the even Clifford algebra of the reduced norm form of $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E})$. Hence $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^\pm$ are inverse maps. This is a generalization of [KPS89, Thm. 10.7] to the line bundle-valued (trivial discriminant) setting.

A direct proof of b) can be given along similar lines using an easy generalization of [BK94, Prop. 4.8], which states that if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ is a choice of 2-torsion datum of degree 4 (i.e. $\mathcal{A}$ is an Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra of degree 4, $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank 16, and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra isomorphism) corresponding to the element $[\mathcal{A}] \in {}_2\mathrm{Az}_4^{(\mathrm{op})}(X)$, then the even Clifford algebra of its reduced pfaffian form is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathcal{E})$. Hence $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^+$ are inverse maps. This is a generalization of [KPS89, Thm. 9.4] to the line bundle-valued setting. $\square$

As a result, we can realize any Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra of degree dividing 4 as the even Clifford invariant of a line bundle-valued quadratic form. In particular, if ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is generated by such Azumaya algebras, then the total Clifford invariant is surjective.

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible. If ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is generated by Azumaya algebras of degree ≤ 4 , then the total Clifford invariant*

$$e^2 : I_{\mathrm{tot}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$$

is surjective.

In the same spirit, we can give a stronger condition sufficient for the surjectivity of the classical Clifford invariant $e_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2 : I^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$. First we recall

some results from [KPS89]. For $\mathcal{A} \in {}_2\text{Az}_4(X)$, the class $[\mathcal{L}] \in \text{Pic}(X)/2$ of the value line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of the reduced pfaffian form $\mathcal{P}f(\mathcal{A})$ is a well defined class $d_0(\mathcal{A}) \in \text{Pic}(X)/2$, see [KPS89, §9, p. 213]. When $d_0(\mathcal{A})$ is trivial we say that $\mathcal{A}$ has *trivial pfaffian invariant*. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}$ has trivial pfaffian invariant if and only if a line bundle-valued quadratic form of rank 6 representing the projective similarity class of $\mathcal{P}f(\mathcal{A})$ can be chosen with values in $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Proposition 3.3 ([KPS89, Prop. 3.2]). *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible and $\mathcal{A} \in {}_2\text{Az}_4(X)$. If $\mathcal{A}$ has an involution of the first kind then $d_0(\mathcal{A})$ is 2-torsion. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}$ has a symplectic involution then $d_0(\mathcal{A})$ is trivial.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a scheme with 2 invertible. If ${}_2\text{Br}(X)$ is generated by Azumaya algebras of degree 4 with trivial pfaffian invariant, then the classical Clifford invariant*

$$e_{\mathcal{O}_X}^2 : I^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$$

is surjective. In particular this is the case if ${}_2\text{Br}(X)$ is generated by Azumaya quaternion algebras.

Proof. We first remark that any $\mathcal{A} \in {}_2\text{Az}_4(X)$ of index 2 is Brauer equivalent to $\mathcal{A}' \in {}_2\text{Az}_4(X)$ with trivial pfaffian invariant. Indeed, by Morita theory, $\mathcal{A} \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{P})$ for some Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of degree 2 (i.e. an Azumaya quaternion algebra) and some locally free $\mathcal{B}$ -module $\mathcal{P}$ of rank 2. In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ is Brauer equivalent to $\mathcal{B}$. Now we can extend the standard symplectic involution on $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{B})$, which then has trivial pfaffian invariant by Proposition 3.3. We note that the reduced norm form $\text{Nrd}_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is a regular $\mathcal{O}_X$ -valued quadratic form in $I^2(X)$ with $e^2(\text{Nrd}_{\mathcal{B}}) = [\mathcal{B}]$, by Theorem 3.1a. This already proves the final claim.

In general, as remarked above, if $\mathcal{A} \in {}_2\text{Az}_4(X)$ has trivial pfaffian invariant, then there exists an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -valued quadratic form $(\mathcal{E}, q)$ in the projective similarity class of $\mathcal{P}f(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 3.1b, we have that $e^2(\mathcal{E}, q) = [\mathcal{A}]$. The first claim then follows. $\square$

3.2. Brauer dimension results. Now we investigate sufficient conditions under which ${}_2\text{Br}(X)$ is generated by Azumaya algebras of degree dividing 4. Let X be an integral scheme with function field K . An Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called an *Azumaya division algebra* if the generic fiber $\mathcal{A}_K$ is a central division K -algebra. Recall the notions of period and index of a central simple K -algebra.

We introduce two conditions on an integral scheme X with function field K :

- A Every central division K -algebra of period 2 and degree dividing 4, which is Brauer equivalent to the generic fiber of an Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra, is isomorphic to the generic fiber of an Azumaya division $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra.
- B Every $\mathcal{A} \in {}_2\text{Br}(X)$ satisfies $\text{ind}(\mathcal{A}_K) | \text{per}(\mathcal{A}_K)^2$.

Condition *A* is a kind of “purity for division algebras” of period 2 and degree dividing 4. Condition *B* might be restated loosely as “*X* has Brauer dimension 2” for classes of period 2. See [ABGV11, §4] for the precise notion of Brauer dimension.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be a regular integral scheme with 2 invertible. If X satisfies conditions *A* and *B*, then ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is generated by Azumaya algebras of degree dividing 4.*

Proof. Since X is regular, the canonical map $\mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K)$ is injective, see [Gro68, Cor. 1.8]. By condition *B*, for any $\mathcal{A} \in {}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$, we have that $\mathcal{A}_K \in {}_2\mathrm{Br}(K)$ is Brauer equivalent to a central division K -algebra D of degree dividing 4. By condition *A*, there exists an Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ whose generic fiber is D , in particular, $\mathcal{B}$ has degree dividing 4. Since $[\mathcal{B}_K] = [D] = [\mathcal{A}_K] \in {}_2\mathrm{Br}(K)$, by the injectivity of $\mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K)$, we have that $[\mathcal{B}] = [\mathcal{A}] \in {}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$. Thus in fact every class in ${}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is represented by an Azumaya $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra of degree dividing 4. $\square$

We now collect together some necessary conditions under which conditions *A* and *B* hold. Condition *A* holds quite generally.

Theorem 3.6. *Any regular integral scheme X of dimension ≤ 2 satisfies condition *A*.*

Proof. This result was already proved for curves over fields in [PS95, Lemma 7.1]. In general, this uses the theory of maximal orders and the patching techniques from [CTS79]. A complete proof can be found in [OP05, Thm. 1.1]. $\square$

As for condition *B*, it holds in the following cases where the Brauer dimension of K is known to be 1:

- curves over finite fields (by class field theory),
- surfaces over algebraically closed fields (by de Jong [dJ04]),

or the Brauer dimension of K is known to be 2:

- curves over local fields (by Saltman [Sal97]),
- surfaces over (pseudo-)finite fields (by Lieblich [Lie11]).

Corollary 3.7. *Let X be regular integral scheme with 2 invertible.*

- a) *If X is a curve over a finite field or surface over an algebraically closed field, then the classical Clifford invariant $e^2 : I^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is surjective.*
- b) *If X is a curve over a local field or a surface over a (pseudo-)finite field, then the total Clifford invariant $e^2 : I_{\mathrm{tot}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\mathrm{Br}(X)$ is surjective.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.4, Lemma 3.5, Theorem 3.6, and the Brauer dimension results stated above. Note that *a)* was already known: for curves over finite fields by [PS92, Lemma 4.1] and for surfaces over algebraically closed fields by the work of [FC87]. $\square$

3.3. A total unramified Milnor conjecture. We are lead to the following natural question, which is a generalization of the main results in this work.

Question 3.8. *Let X be a regular integral scheme with 2 invertible. Assume that the function field K of X satisfies $cd_2(K) \leq 3$. Is the homomorphism*

$$e^2 : I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \rightarrow {}_2\text{Br}(X)$$

surjective?

Question 3.8 has a positive answer for all schemes appearing in Corollary 3.7. A positive answer to Question 3.8 brings a scheme closer to satisfying an analogue of the Milnor conjecture for the fundamental filtration $I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \subset I_{\text{tot}}^1(X) \subset W_{\text{tot}}(X)$ of the total Witt group. By Remark 2.4, there are no higher cohomological invariants to consider. We always have the isomorphisms

$$\bar{e}^0 : W_{\text{tot}}/I_{\text{tot}}^1(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^0(X)$$

and

$$\bar{e}^1 : I_{\text{tot}}^1/\ker(e^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^1(X)$$

and now if Question 3.8 has a positive answer for X , then we also have the isomorphism

$$\bar{e}^2 : I_{\text{tot}}^2/I_{\text{tot}}^3(X) \rightarrow H_{\text{ur}}^2(X)$$

where we define $I_{\text{tot}}^3(X) = \ker(e^2)$. The only question remaining is concerning the inclusion $I_{\text{tot}}^2(X) \subset \ker(e^1)$. When are these groups equal?

REFERENCES

- [ABGV11] Asher Auel, Eric Brussel, Skip Garibaldi, and Uzi Vishne, *Open problems in central simple algebras*, Transformation Groups **16** (2011), no. 1, 219–264.
- [Alb39] A. Adrian Albert, *Structure of Algebras*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 24, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1939.
- [Ara84] Jón Kr. Arason, *A proof of Merkurjev’s theorem*, Quadratic and Hermitian forms (Hamilton, Ont., 1983), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984, pp. 121–130.
- [Aue09] Asher Auel, *Cohomological invariants of line bundle-valued symmetric bilinear forms*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 2009.
- [Aue11a] ———, *Clifford invariants of line bundle-valued quadratic forms*, MPIM preprint series 2011 (33), May 2011.
- [Aue11b] ———, *Line bundle-valued quadratic forms of low rank*, preprint, 2011.

- [Bal07] Thiruvalloor Eesanaipaadi Venkata Balaji, *Line-bundle valued ternary quadratic forms over schemes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), 237–259.
- [BC11] Paul Balmer and Baptiste Calmès, *Bases of total Witt groups and lax-similitude*, preprint [arXiv:1104.5051](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.5051)v1, April 2011.
- [Bic85] Walter Bichsel, *Quadratische räume mit werten in invertierbaren moduln*, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich, 1985.
- [BK94] W. Bichsel and M.-A. Knus, *Quadratic forms with values in line bundles*, Contemp. Math. **155** (1994), 293–306.
- [BO74] Spencer Bloch and Arthur Ogus, *Gersten’s conjecture and the homology of schemes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 181–201 (1975). MR 0412191 (54 #318)
- [BW02] Paul Balmer and Charles Walter, *A Gersten-Witt spectral sequence for regular schemes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 1, 127–152.
- [CT95] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture*, *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras* (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 1–64.
- [CTS78] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Jean-Jacques Sansuc, *Cohomologie des groupes de type multiplicatif sur les schémas réguliers*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **287** (1978), no. 6, A449–A452.
- [CTS79] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *Fibrés quadratiques et composantes connexes réelles*, Math. Ann. **244** (1979), no. 2, 105–134.
- [CvO93] Stefaan Caenepeel and Freddy van Oystaeyen, *Quadratic forms with values in invertible modules*, K-Theory **7** (1993), 23–40.
- [DG70] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs. Avec un appendice, Corps de classes local, par Michiel Hazewinkel*, Masson & Cie, Éditeur, Paris; North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [dJ04] A. J. de Jong, *The period-index problem for the Brauer group of an algebraic surface*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 1, 71–94.
- [EKM08] Richard Elman, Nikita Karpenko, and Alexander Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 56, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [EVMS02] Philippe Elbaz-Vincent and Stefan Müller-Stach, *Milnor K-theory of rings, higher Chow groups and applications*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 1, 177–206.
- [Fas08] Jean Fasel, *Groupes de Chow-Witt*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2008), no. 113, viii+197.
- [FC87] Fernando Fernández-Carmena, *On the injectivity of the map of the Witt group of a scheme into the Witt group of its function field*, Math. Ann. **277** (1987), no. 3, 453–468.
- [GHKS70] W.-D. Geyer, G. Harder, M. Knebusch, and W. Scharlau, *Ein Residuensatz für symmetrische Bilinearformen*, Invent. Math. **11** (1970), 319–328.
- [Gil07] Stefan Gille, *A graded Gersten-Witt complex for schemes with a dualizing complex and the Chow group*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **208** (2007), no. 2, 391–419.

- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique*, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 67–87.
- [Gui89] Daniel Guin, *Homologie du groupe linéaire et K -théorie de Milnor des anneaux*, J. Algebra **123** (1989), no. 1, 27–59.
- [Hoo06] Raymond T. Hoobler, *The Merkuriev-Suslin theorem for any semi-local ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **207** (2006), no. 3, 537–552.
- [Kan71] Teruo Kanzaki, *On bilinear module and Witt ring over a commutative ring*, Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 485–496.
- [Kap88] M.M. Kapranov, *On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), 479–508.
- [Ker09] Moritz Kerz, *The Gersten conjecture for Milnor K -theory*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 1, 1–33.
- [KMRT98] Max-Albert Knus, Alexander Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tignol, *The book of involutions*, Colloquium Publications, vol. 44, AMS, 1998.
- [KMS07] Moritz Kerz and Stefan Müller-Stach, *The Milnor-Chow homomorphism revisited*, K -Theory **38** (2007), no. 1, 49–58.
- [Kne77] M. Knebusch, *Symmetric bilinear forms over algebraic varieties*, Conference on Quadratic Forms–1976 (Kingston, Ont.) (G. Orzech, ed.), Queen’s Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 46, Queen’s Univ., 1977, pp. 103–283.
- [Kne82] Martin Kneser, *Composition of binary quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **15** (1982), no. 3, 406–413.
- [Knu88] M.-A. Knus, *Pfaffians and quadratic forms*, Adv. in Math. **71** (1988), 1–20.
- [Knu91] Max-Albert Knus, *Quadratic and hermitian forms over rings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [KO91] Max-Albert Knus and Manuel Ojanguren, *The Clifford algebra of a metabolic space*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **56** (1991), no. 5, 440–445.
- [KOS78] M.-A. Knus, M. Ojanguren, and R. Sridharan, *Quadratic forms and Azumaya algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **303/304** (1978), 231–248.
- [KP85] M.-A. Knus and A. Paques, *Quadratic spaces with trivial Arf invariant*, J. Algebra **93** (1985), no. 2, 267–291.
- [KPS86] M.-A. Knus, R. Parimala, and R. Sridharan, *On rank 4 quadratic spaces with given Arf and Witt invariants*, Math. Ann. **274** (1986), no. 2, 181–198.
- [KPS89] M.-A. Knus, R. Parimala, and R. Sridharan, *A classification of rank 6 quadratic spaces via pfaffians*, J. reine angew. Math. **398** (1989), 187–218.
- [KPS90] M.-A. Knus, R. Parimala, and V. Srinivas, *Azumaya algebras with involutions*, J. Algebra **130** (1990), no. 1, 65–82.
- [Lie11] Max Lieblich, *The period-index problem for fields of transcendence degree 2*, preprint [arXiv:0909.4345v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4345v2), January 2011.
- [Mah10] M. G. Mahmoudi, *Orthogonal symmetries and Clifford algebras*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **120** (2010), no. 5, 535–561.
- [Mer81] A. S. Merkur’ev, *On the norm residue symbol of degree 2*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **261** (1981), no. 3, 542–547.
- [Mer06] Alexander Merkurjev, *On the norm residue homomorphism of degree two*, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XII (Providence, RI), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 219, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, pp. 103–124.

- [Mil70] John Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **9** (1969/1970), 318–344.
- [Mor05] Fabien Morel, *Milnor’s conjecture on quadratic forms and mod 2 motivic complexes*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **114** (2005), 63–101 (2006).
- [Mum71] David Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **4** (1971), no. 4, 181–192.
- [OP99] Manuel Ojanguren and Ivan Panin, *A purity theorem for the Witt group*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), no. 1, 71–86.
- [OP05] Manuel Ojanguren and R. Parimala, *Algebras of prime degree on function fields of surfaces*, preprint, 2005.
- [OPSS99] M. Ojanguren, R. Parimala, R. Sridharan, and V. Suresh, *Witt groups of the punctured spectrum of a 3-dimensional regular local ring and a purity theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **59** (1999), no. 2, 521–540.
- [Par88] R. Parimala, *Witt groups of affine three-folds*, Duke Math. J. **57** (1988), no. 3, 947–954.
- [Par00] William Pardon, *The filtered Gersten–Witt resolution for regular schemes*, preprint, K-theory Preprint Archives, <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0419/>, May 2000.
- [PS92] R. Parimala and R. Sridharan, *Graded Witt ring and unramified cohomology*, K-Theory **6** (1992), no. 1, 29–44.
- [PS94a] R. Parimala and W. Scharlau, *On the canonical class of a curve and the extension property for quadratic forms*, Recent advances in real algebraic geometry and quadratic forms (Berkeley, CA, 1990/1991; San Francisco, CA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 155, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 339–350.
- [PS94b] R. Parimala and R. Sridharan, *Nonsurjectivity of the Clifford invariant map*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **104** (1994), no. 1, 49–56, K. G. Ramanathan memorial issue.
- [PS94c] R. Parimala and R. Sridharan, *Reduced norms and pfaffians via Brauer–Severi schemes*, Contemp. Math. **155** (1994), 351–363.
- [PS95] R. Parimala and V. Suresh, *Zero-cycles on quadric fibrations: finiteness theorems and the cycle map*, Invent. Math. **122** (1995), no. 1, 83–117.
- [Ros96] Markus Rost, *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 16, 319–393 (electronic).
- [Sal78] David J. Saltman, *Azumaya algebras with involution*, J. Algebra **52** (1978), no. 2, 526–539.
- [Sal97] David J. Saltman, *Division algebras over p -adic curves*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 25–47, errata, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **12** (1997), no. 1, 25–47.
- [Sch75] Winfried Scharlau, *Zur Existenz von Involutionen auf einfachen Algebren*, Math. Z. **145** (1975), no. 1, 29–32.
- [SGA64] *Schémas en groupes. III: Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3). Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962/1964.
- [Swa85] Richard G. Swan, *K-theory of quadric hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 1, 113–153.

- [Voe03] Vladimir Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 59–104.
- [Voi10] John Voight, *Characterizing quaternion rings over an arbitrary base*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear, 2010.
- [Wad86] Adrian R. Wadsworth, *Merkurjev's elementary proof of Merkurjev's theorem*, Applications of algebraic K -theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983), Contemp. Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 741–776.
- [Zai10] Kirill Zainoulline, *Witt groups of varieties and the purity problem*, Quadratic forms, linear algebraic groups, and cohomology, Dev. Math., vol. 18, Springer, New York, 2010, pp. 173–185.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & CS, EMORY UNIVERSITY, 400 DOWMAN DRIVE NE
W401, ATLANTA, GA 30322

E-mail address: auel@mathcs.emory.edu